

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,772	03/30/2001	Beat Mollet	88265-4011	6428
7590 02/10/2004			EXAMINER	
Allan A. Fanucci WINSTON & STRAWN			HUTSON, RICHARD G	
200 Park Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10166			1652	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
A de sia a un e A a dia un	09/823,772	MOLLET ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard G Hutson	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applically a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>19 December 2003</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	· ·					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	•					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5-8 and 15-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	•					
10. Other:						
		Will Charles				

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652 Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment of claim 15 would result in further consideration and a 112 second paragraph rejection as applicants deletion of "the gene product" would result in the second recitation of "product" being unclear.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 112 second paragraph rejection over "the ywfl gene" has been withdrawn.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the remaining rejection of record remain in light of the non-entr of applicants amendment and applicants comments. Specifically applicants traversal of the rejections of claims 1-6 and 8 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph for lack of written descriptionand enablement are maintained because applicants have not described but a few species of the infinite number of ways of rendering the ywfl gene non-functional, especially in light of the unknown function of the ywfl gene product. Further applicants statement regarding the microorganism deposit and its availbility is not complete as per 35 USC 112 1st paragraph in accordance with 37 CFR 1.801-1.809.